

To: Goforth, Kathleen[Goforth.Kathleen@epa.gov]
From: Jessop, Carter
Sent: Thur 1/30/2014 12:21:21 AM
Subject: Correction - RE: Quick question

For the purposes of your prior question, I believe the more appropriate acres of private land to cite is 900 acres.

There is actually some confusion related to the acres of ownership. The FEIS is not very explicit on how the preferred alternative compares to the proposed in terms of land ownership for acres disturbed. I emailed the FS last week for more clarity. In writing up my previous email for you, I realized that the numbers they gave me still don't quite compare apples-to-apples appropriately. I just sent Mindy Vogel another email.

Carter W. Jessop
U.S. EPA, Region 9
Environmental Review Office (CED-2)
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105
(415) 972-3815
jessop.carter@epa.gov

-----Original Message-----

From: Jessop, Carter
Sent: Wednesday, January 29, 2014 3:54 PM
To: Goforth, Kathleen
Subject: RE: Quick question

The preferred alternative (referred to as "Barrel" alternative) would result in the direct disturbance of: approximately 3,655 acres of National Forest System land, 1,200 acres of private land owned by Rosemont Copper.

The above are the values you requested. But for context:

The mine would also have water and electrical transmission corridor that spans 574 acres of Arizona State Land Department land, of which they would disturb around 75 acres, and 3.1 acres of Bureau of Land Management land, of which they expect to disturb 0 acres (which is why they retracted their permit request with the BLM and BLM no longer has any direct permit associated with the project).

The original proposed action would be approximately 3,670 acres of National Forest System land, 995 acres of private land owned by Rosemont Copper, 15 acres of Bureau of Land Management administered land, and 75 acres of Arizona State Land Department land.

Carter W. Jessop
U.S. EPA, Region 9
Environmental Review Office (CED-2)
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105
(415) 972-3815
jessop.carter@epa.gov

-----Original Message-----

From: Goforth, Kathleen

Sent: Wednesday, January 29, 2014 3:39 PM

To: Jessop, Carter

Subject: Quick question

Do you happen to know how much of the RM mine site is on Forest Service vs private land? Susan is asking.